UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,426	01/11/2006	Juan, Maria Cruz-Sagredo Garcia	CRUZ-SAGREDO GARCIA, J1	8862
25889 WILLIAM CO	7590 09/04/2007		EXAMINER	
COLLARD &	ROE, P.C.		MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H	
1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11002111,111	,		3765	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/564,426	CRUZ-SAGREDO GARCIA, JUAN, MARIA				
omee, iouen cumman,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert H. Muromoto, Jr.	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by significant processing the provided period for reply will, by significant processing the provided part of th	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. By be timely filed AS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 January 2006.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exan	miner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 January 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re treau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🦳 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of National Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/11/2006. 	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date ormal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/564,426

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title and form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brickman US patent 2,660406.

Claim 1 recites "Sclerophyllic mesh", which has been referenced as a synonym for "barbed wire" by applicant in the instant specification.

Brickman clearly discloses a barbed wire and therefore "Sclerophyllic mesh" as defined by applicant.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

Brickman clearly discloses the extremely broad recitations of the claims as well as the more limiting "electrowelded" metallic wire recitations. The term 'electrowelded' is a product by process limitation. The Brickman mesh recites 'welded' wire. The MPEP has established that once the examiner has shown an essentially identical product that a 102 or 103 rejection is proper and that the burden has shifted to applicant to prove that the recited process steps result in a different structure than that of the prior art.

Figures clearly show barbs (sharp points) on the mesh as claimed. The claims recite extremely broad limitations with regard to the barbs and their placement which are clearly shown and disclosed in Brickman.

Brickman discloses an embodiment welding the barbs to the welded wires as in claim 2.

Brickman discloses barbs being twisted or braided around the wires as in claim 3.

The figures show the mesh "essentially flat" as in claim 4.

Claim 6 is already recited in claim 1.

The limitations of claim 7 are inherent to Brickman, as nothing in Brickman precludes the incorporation of finishes as the claim recites the mesh as "can incorporate finishes".

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/564,426

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bobby Muromoto /Bobby Muromoto/ Patent examiner (partial signatory authority) Art unit 3765 August 22, 2007